

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,540	02/10/2004	Shinsuke Okada	P24587	1084	
7055 7590 11/13/2006			EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3739		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,540	OKADA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739		

	Iviattnew J. Nasztejna	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advicement, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate extension of the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betomorphisms.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
		omphant Amendment	. (1 10L-324).
	•	tipo alvetil a de a ma a melmor	ant annailme
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	mowable ii submitted in a separate	, umely liled amendr	tent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8-14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-7,15-18 and 21-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	7	• • • • •	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	r allowance
because: See Continuation Sheet.))
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	to	
MY 11			
11/8/0		LINDA C. M. [SUPERVISORY PATEI	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

GROUP 3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner disagrees with applicant. As broadly as claimed, both the first and second optical systems are fixed in a tip end of the inserting tube 5, as there are no structural limitations to the tip end. Both optical systems of Takahashi are fixed within the same inserting tube (see Figs. 6 and 8-9). Furthermore, the optical systems are fully capable of being fixed in relation to each other as the second endoscope may be positively fixed in position relative to the object to be inspected (see Col. 4, Lines 16-60). Thus, the optical systems are fixed in relation to each other and in the tip of the inserting tube. As broadly as claimed, all current rejections of the claims stand.